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Abstract: Gas migration mechanisms control the release of gas from seafloor sediments. We study underlying phenomena using transparent sediments subjected to controlled effective stress; this experimental approach allows high-resolution real-time monitoring of gas migration through cohesionless granular materials under 3D-boundary conditions. Observed migration patterns depend on the effective stress at the time of injection and the stress history. Gas migration transitions from pore-invasive to grain-displacive when the capillary pressure for air entry $\Delta P_{AE}$ is greater than the effective stress $\sigma'$. This study focuses on grain-displacive gas migration. The morphology of grain-displacive gas bodies changes with depth as the sediment stiffness $G$ increases and the effect of surface tension $\gamma$ vanishes: spheroidal gas bubbles form in the near-surface, faceted cavities further down, and eventually open-mode fractures develop at depth. The gas injection pressure is proportional to the effective stress in grain-displacive migration. Pre-loading and overconsolidation cause the rotation of principal stresses and gas-driven openings align with the new minimum principal stress direction. Cyclic loading promotes the upwards migration of gas-filled openings, and there is mechanical memory of previous gas pathways in sediments.
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Introduction

There is widespread methane release from submerged and surface sediments into the atmosphere. Persistent methane bubble curtains rise above various seafloor locations worldwide, including the West Spitsbergen continental margin [Westbrook et al., 2009], the northern US Atlantic margin [Skarke et al., 2014], the sea of Marmara [Tary et al., 2012], the Gulf of Mexico [Wang et al., 2016], and the Håkon Mosby Mud Volcano [Sauter et al., 2006]. Similarly, methane is released from sediments in lakes and swamps [Scandella et al., 2011]. The released methane is biogenic when it originates from shallow organic matter accumulations, or thermogenic when produced at depth.

Gas migration mechanisms in soft sediments control gas release events [Dupre et al., 2015; Scandella et al., 2017], determine the formation of craters and pockmarks [Riboulot et al., 2016; Andreassen et al., 2017; de Prunelle et al., 2017], and constrain the potential gas recovery strategies from methane hydrate accumulations in shallow marine sediments [Moridis et al., 2011; Fauria and Rempel, 2011; Jang, 2014]. Gas migration pathways are also relevant to other degassing processes such as subsurface CO₂ leakage [Lewicki et al., 2007; Bang et al., 2013; Cevatoglu et al., 2015] and gas-driven volcanic eruptions [Suckale et al., 2016].

We can anticipate two end-members for gas transport in sediments as a function of the air entry pressure $\Delta P_{AE}$ relative to the effective stress $\sigma'$ [Clayton and Hay, 1994; Clennell et al., 2000; Shin and Santamarina, 2010; Dai et al., 2012; Holtzman et al., 2012]: either gas invades from pore-to-pore and creates viscous and capillary fingers (expected in coarse-grained sediments at depth), or gas displaces the sediment grains and creates preferential
pathways (expected in shallow, fine-grained sediments). This study focuses on grain-displacive gas migration in sediments.

A limited number of studies have directly observed grain-displacive gas migration processes in sediments. While theoretical solutions often assume spherical gas inclusions in shallow sediments [Wheeler, 1988, 1990; Sills and Wheeler, 1992; Pietruszczak and Pande, 1996], experimental studies have shown other forms such as oblate spheroids, fingers, and fractures [Johnson et al., 2002; Sandnes et al., 2011]. Most of these experiments used thin quasi-2D Hele-Shaw cells [Chevalier et al., 2009; Sandnes et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012; Saintyves et al., 2013; Oppenheimer et al., 2015; Varas et al., 2015; Eriksen et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2017]. Some tests involved transparent gels [Johnson et al., 2002; Boudreau et al., 2005]. Others observed gas inclusions using X-ray CT scans [Abegg and Anderson, 1997; Anderson et al., 1998; Barry et al., 2010]. These approaches have limitations related to CT scan time relative to process time, frictional boundaries and altered state of stress in Hele-Shaw cells, fundamental material differences between the elastic and cohesive gels versus the inherently granular nature of sediments, i.e., effective stress-dependent frictional strength $\tau$, stiffness $G$, and dilatancy $\psi$ [Santamarina et al., 2001].

The purpose of this study is to investigate gas migration mechanisms in soft fine-grained sediments under 3D field conditions. Open-mode discontinuities in cohesionless granular media are inherently grain-displacive and fundamentally different from tensile fractures in elastic cohesive materials where linear elastic fracture mechanics applies [Shin and Santamarina, 2011b]. Therefore we use a transparent granular material and subject the medium to various effective stress levels to simulate gas migration in sediment columns at different depths. While we emphasize the cohesionless nature of the sediments (i.e., frictional), we use the term “fracture” to describe open-mode discontinuities for simplicity.
**Materials and Methods**

*Transparent Soil*

Transparent soils consist of solid clear grains mixed with a liquid of the same refractive index [Iskander, 2010]. Due to their high transparency and granular nature, transparent soils support the study of complex engineering problems such as multiphase flow, pile penetration, failure of shallow foundations, and tunneling [Gill and Lehane, 2001; Iskander, 2010; Liu and Iskander, 2010]. Transparent porous media coupled with planar laser-induced fluorescence and digital image correlation can provide 3D information on fluid invasion topology [Krummel et al., 2013; Dalbe and Juanes, 2018].

The transparent soil used in this study consists of fumed silica mixed with an oil blend (similar to Gill and Lehane, 2001). Fine fumed silica particles (diameter~30nm, density=2.2 g/cm³, from Sigma-Aldrich) form porous micron-size aggregates. The oil blend that matches the refractive index of fumed silica is a 1:1 mixture of two commercially available baby oils (from Mom to Mom and Johnson’s®). The oil blend has a viscosity of 20 mPa·s and its density is 0.825 g/mL. The preparation procedure involves three steps: (1) mix 3g of fumed silica for every 40mL of blended oil to form a mobile slurry; (2) vacuum the slurry for a few hours to remove all air bubbles so that the slurry becomes transparent; (3) consolidate the slurry to the desired effective stress.

**Table 1** summarizes the geotechnical properties of the transparent soil. The nano-size grains define its high specific surface $S_s=200 m^2/g$. However, the micron-size inter-aggregate pores determine its air entry pressure $\Delta P_{AE}\approx 200 kPa$. The measured shear wave velocity vs. stress parameters ($\alpha$, $\beta$, see supporting information Text S1 for details), consolidation parameters ($C_c$, $c_{1kPa}$, $c_v$), friction angle $\phi$ and the liquid limit $LL$ confirm that this transparent
granular medium is a true analogue for fine-grained clayey sediments.

**Experimental Devices and Procedure**

The transparent test cell has a square cross-section to facilitate recording the gas migration processes without geometric distortion (Plexiglas cell of square cross-section, width $a=50.8$ mm, wall thickness $3.2$ mm). The test cell and reaction frame allow for vertical loading, time-dependent consolidation, fluid drainage, and gas injection (**Figure 1-a**). A pneumatic cylinder pushes a permeable porous disk to apply the vertical load while a linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT, Trans-Tek Inc.) monitors the vertical displacement. The excess pore fluid can drain from both the top and bottom plates; double drainage shortens the drainage path to half the specimen height, and consolidation becomes 4 times faster than for single drainage. The stainless steel needle used for gas injection (cylindrical tube, ID=$0.83$ mm, OD=$1.27$ mm) is fixed at the center of the bottom plate and connects to a pressure transducer (Omega PX209) and a syringe pump (Braintree Scientific Inc, BS-8000). There are two cameras (Sony $\alpha5000$) mounted normal to each other and two LED panels provide background illumination. The two orthogonal views allow the 3D characterization of the gas migration process.

We pour the transparent slurry into the cell and consolidate it to the desired effective stress level. A metal wire inserted inside the needle prevents particle entry and clogging during the consolidation process. After consolidation, we remove the metal wire and connect the needle to the syringe pump (**Figure 1-b**). The syringe pump injects gas at a low constant rate ($2$ mL/hr). We record the pressure signature and gather still images $6$ times per minute.

**Boundary Effects**

Cell dimensions minimize boundary effects while maintaining high-quality see-
through conditions. The cell width $a=50.8$ mm is limited by transparency; for this width, we anticipate negligible transverse boundary effects for gas bubbles that are smaller than 10 mm [Yu, 2013]. On the other hand, we keep a good distance between the injection point and the top boundary to observe gas migration; wall friction accumulates with depth and causes a lower vertical effective stress at the injection point compared to the stress applied at the top surface (Janssen effect - Sperl, 2006). We selected the specimen height-to-width ratio as a compromise between these competing effects. The expected decrease in vertical effective stress is $\sim 20\%$ at a sediment depth $z=0.5a$ and $\sim 34\%$ at $z=a$ if wall friction is fully mobilized [Briscoe and Rough, 1998]. The supporting information Text S2 associated to this paper provides a detailed discussion on wall friction.

**Results**

**Gas Migration Patterns and Pressure Signatures**

We consolidate transparent soil specimens to vertical effective stress levels between $\sigma'_v=0.15$ kPa and $\sigma'_v=44$ kPa (at the top surface, $z=0$). These conditions correspond to effective stresses in shallow marine sediment columns that range from less than 10 cm to more than 10 m high estimated using published sediment density data [Hamilton, 1976]. The core of the study comprises 21 separate experiments. Figure 2 shows typical results at $\sigma'_v=0.15$ kPa, 3.8 kPa, and 24 kPa.

Gas migrates as bubbles at $\sigma'_v=0.15$ kPa. Bubbles are non-spherical, and sequential bubbles interact and may merge as they migrate upwards. The pressure signature shows pressurization until the gas bubble starts forming; the sudden pressure drop during bubble inflation ends as the bubble is released (See other examples in Fainerman et al., 2004).
Sequential formation-release events lead to the recorded saw-tooth pressure signal in Figure 2-I.

At an intermediate consolidation stress of $\sigma'_v=3.8$ kPa, the growing gas inclusion starts as a 3D cavity and transitions into a thin fracture later on. The initial cavity deviates from the smooth spheroidal bubbles in slurries and exhibits a faceted geometry; this indicates that the role of the surface tension vanishes as a shape-controlling parameter (Figure 2-II-a). The gas cavity grows as a succession of expansion events. The gas pressure drops suddenly when the cavity forms and enlarges. Gradually, the cavity develops corners and edges (Figure 2-II-b); local fractures initiate at these sharp locations and eventually grow to form a single thin fracture (Figure 2-II-c).

At higher effective stress levels (e.g. $\sigma'_v=24$ kPa, Figure 2-III), gas invades the transparent soil by creating fractures from the onset, and there is no early cavity expansion stage. The injection pressure reaches a plateau that is characterized by small pressurization-drop cycles, i.e., saw-tooth shape as well. Each pressure drop signifies a step growth in the fracture volume.

The fracture plane becomes thinner as the effective stress increases (e.g., $\sigma'_v=44$ kPa, Figure 3). The gas pressure increases continuously during fracture growth. The pressure buildup causes fast fracture development. This trend suggests that the injected gas volume is larger than the created fracture opening volume.

Boyle’s law for ideal gas allows us to calculate the volume of the gas inclusion $V_{gi}$ as it develops in the transparent soil specimen:

$$P\cdot(V_{sys}-V_{inj}+V_{gi})=C \quad (1)$$

where $P$ is the gas pressure, $V_{sys}$ is the initial volume of gas in the injection system, and $V_{inj}$ is
the injected gas volume. We estimate the constant C from $P-V_{inj}$ values before a gas inclusion forms. Figure 2 shows the computed gas inclusion volumes for cases II and III. The sudden volume jumps are consistent with concurrent visual observations.

**Stress History**

Two additional experiments explore gas injection into “overconsolidated” specimens, i.e., specimens preloaded to high vertical effective stress $\sigma_{v\text{m}}$ and then unloaded to a lower stress level $\sigma_v$. The goal is to cause principal stress rotation so that the vertical stress becomes the minimum principal stress $\sigma_3$: $\sigma_3=\sigma_v$. The overconsolidation ratio $\text{OCR}=\sigma_{v\text{m}}/\sigma_v$ and the vertical effective stress at injection $\sigma_v$ define experimental conditions. The residual horizontal effective stress after unloading can be estimated as $\sigma_h=(1-\sin\phi)\text{OCR}\sin\phi\sigma_v$, [Mayne and Kulhawy, 1982]. The friction angle of the transparent soil is $\phi=30^\circ$, then $\sin\phi=0.5$ and principal stress rotation $\sigma_h > \sigma_v$ is anticipated when $\text{OCR}>4$.

We run a test using a short overconsolidated specimen with an estimated OCR=10 at the injection point. The sediment experiences principal stress rotation at the injection point, and gas invasion nucleates a horizontally oriented thin fracture. The fracture propagates horizontally and eventually tilts as it feels the cell walls (Figure S2 in supporting information).

The test run with a tall specimen has an estimated overconsolidation ratio OCR=1.5 at the injection point and OCR>10 close to the top plate. As a result, the fracture initiates and propagates vertically and tilts at mid height when it “feels” the principal stress rotation, i.e., the horizontal effective stress becomes larger than the vertical effective stress (Figure 3).

**Degassing and Fracture Healing**

Gas releases into the water column or the atmosphere once it reaches the sediment
surface. Gas release events are intermittent or continuous depending on (1) the gas injection rate which determines the gas re-pressurization time, and (2) the sediment properties and stress conditions that determine the fracture healing time. There is a sudden drop in gas pressure when the pressurized gas in fractures leaks out; then, the gas pressure starts to build up again until another degassing event occurs. Sediments “heal” and the fracture gradually closes after each gas release event. Healing diminishes with successive degassing events; eventually, the degassing pathway may remain open and gas would leak continuously at a relatively constant pressure (Figure 3). Experiments at low effective stresses exhibit wide openings that require long healing times, thus gas continues degassing and there is low gas pressure buildup (short re-pressurization time).

**Cyclic Loading (Unloading and Reloading)**

Several tests include vertical stress cycles after gas injection to examine the effects of cyclic loading on gas migration. These tests resemble fluid pressure oscillations associated with tides (see wave-seabed interactions in Jeng, 2003). Figure 4 shows an example of a specimen with an initial Y-shaped gas fracture after gas injection stopped. The gas expands during vertical unloading from 14 kPa to 0, the fracture advances upwards, reaches the top porous disk, and leaks gas into the atmosphere. During vertical reloading, the gas inclusion partially closes and the leak pathway temporarily shuts off. Eventually, it reopens again during the following unloading cycle. Other similar cases tested as part of this study show the gradual upwards migration of gas inclusions during cyclic loading (see similar observations in Wheeler, 1990).

**Memory Effect**

Gas migration follows similar pathways during successive injections; this suggests
that there is mechanical memory of previous events in sediments. Images in Figure 2-I show that individual bubbles move along the same trajectory created by the leading bubble at low effective stress. Similarly, there is memory in the fracture formation regime, as demonstrated in Figure 5: the gas-filled fracture ($\sigma'_v=4$ kPa) gradually closes as gas diffuses into the medium during the following 4 days. The second gas injection at the same vertical effective stress follows a migration pattern very similar to the opening created during the first injection. The similarities are not only in overall geometry, but also in the fine details; for example, both have the same broken-curved geometry next to the needle (front view), and vein patterns (side view). Memory remains in the sediment even when successive gas injections are separated by long inactive periods.

Mechanical memory reflects grain-scale displacements during the first injection: the subsequent relaxation during deflation causes local changes in horizontal effective stress (lower $\sigma'_v$) and local void ratio (higher $e$). Similar mechanisms for sediment memory were observed in contracting cavities in soils [Yu and Rowe, 1999; Cheng et al., 2007] and in the evolution of horizontal effective stress and void ratio during mineral dissolution [Shin et al., 2008; Cha and Santamarina, 2014]. Local sediment fabric changes and entrapped gas micro-pockets may contribute to the memory effect.

**Analysis and Discussion**

*Gas Migration Patterns in Fine-grained Sediments*

Transparent soil specimens allow us to observe gas migration in granular media in real time and with high resolution. Our results reveal that gas migration patterns in fine-grained sediments depend on the effective stress at the time of injection and the stress history,
as they determine all the sediment mechanical properties, in particular its stiffness. **Figure 6** summarizes the evolving morphology of gas inclusions as a function of vertical effective stress $\sigma_v$ and sediment shear stiffness $G$ (Note: shear stiffness is calculated from the measured shear wave velocity $V_s$ and sediment density $\rho$: $G=\rho V_s^2$). We used image analysis to measure the inclusion area $S$ from the two orthogonal directions (theorem for orthogonal projections of a plane), and the injection $P-V$ data to compute the gas inclusion volume $V_{gi}$ (equation 1). Then, the average thickness of the gas inclusion is $th=V_{gi}/S$.

Results in **Figure 6** show that gas inclusions flatten and the thickness-to-width ratio $th/W$ decreases as the sediment stiffness $G$ increases with effective stress. Results from this study on grain-displacive gas migration and previously published results on gas invasion [Shin and Santamarina, 2010; Sandnes et al., 2011; Holtzman et al., 2012; Dai et al., 2012] allow us to conclude that gas migration patterns evolve from grain-displacive at low effective stress and stiffness (bubbles, tubes, faceted cavities, and open-mode fractures) to pore-invasive when the sediment is stiff at high effective stress:

- The dimensionless ratio $\Pi_{\text{bubble}}=GR/\gamma$ captures the balance between sediment stiffness $G$ and surface tension $\gamma$ as morphology-control parameters, where $R$ is the bubble radius. The change in gas inclusion geometry from a smooth-spheroidal at low $\Pi_{\text{bubble}}$ to a faceted cavity marks the transition from surface tension $\gamma$-controlled to sediment stiffness $G$-controlled bubble growth.

- Analyses and results show preferential planar openings or “fractures” rather than cavity expansion when $\Pi_{\text{bubble}}$ increases and the sediment becomes stiffer. The measured thickness-to-width ratio $th/W$ are inversely related to the sediment stiffness $G$ ($th/W \propto G^{-1}$, as anticipated from theory of elasticity.

- The sediment stiffness at high effective stress prevents grain displacement and the
gas-liquid interface invades pores. The transition from grain-displacement to pore-invasion is captured in the dimensionless ratio between grain-scale capillary forces and effective stress-dependent skeletal forces: \( \Pi_{inv} = 10 \gamma / (\sigma d_{grain}) \) where \( d_{grain} \) is the particle size. In terms of the air entry pressure \( \Delta P_{AE} \), this dimensionless ratio is \( \Pi_{inv} = \Delta P_{AE} / \sigma' \) (see Shin and Santamarina, 2011b). Pore-invasion takes place at \( \sigma' \approx 200 \) kPa in the tested transparent soil.

Our results contrast with observations in quasi-2D Hele-Shaw cells and with transparent gels. Experiments in Hele-Shaw cells cannot control effective stress and the frequently observed fractal patterns are determined by side friction under the quasi-2D boundary conditions [Sandnes et al., 2011; Oppenheimer et al., 2015; Varas et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2017]. On the other hand, effective stress-dependent gas migration patterns are not observed in experiments with transparent gelatins because of their cohesive nature [Johnson et al., 2002; Boudreau et al., 2005].

**Fracture Growth Process**

At the grain scale, the air-liquid interface invades the sediment at large pores or defects and pushes grains aside (Figure 7a). Grain-displacive gas migration increases the sediment pore size, and decreases contact forces between grains ahead of the fracture tip [Santamarina, 2003; Shin and Santamarina, 2011a&b; Zhang et al. 2013]. These grain-scale mechanisms create the positive feedback that sustains further fracture growth.

The interface between the invading gas body and the transparent soil is faceted and irregular: in agreement with visual observations, open-mode discontinuities do not grow as a single front but rather by successive opening events (grain-displacive, see Figure 7b for front view, and Figure 7c, d for side view). The front advances along the plane perpendicular to
the minimum effective stress, as it is clearly demonstrated in tests with overconsolidated sediments where the fracture tilts in response to the principal stress rotation (Figure 3).

**Gas Injection Pressure**

The critical injection pressure at fracture opening affects the analysis of multiple engineering tasks such as drilling [Keulen, 2001], hydraulic conductivity measurements [Bjerrum et al., 1972], in situ remediation for low-permeability soils [Alfaro and Wong, 2001], and grouting [Marchi et al., 2013]. Most analytical solutions consider tensile strength and assume linear elastic fracture mechanics, in contrast to the cohesionless, granular nature of soft sediments. Instead, we adopt a frictional Mohr-Coulomb critical state formulation [Schofield and Wroth, 1968; Wood, 1990]. Injection pressures for cavity expansion and fracture propagation follow.

The internal pressure for a spherical cavity expansion $P_{\text{cavity}}$ must work against the mean effective stress $\sigma'_o$, the pore fluid pressure $u_o$, and the soil resistance [Carter et al., 1986; Cao et al., 2001; Yu, 2013]:

$$P_{\text{cavity}} = \frac{4s_u}{3} \left[ 1 + \ln \left( \frac{G}{s_u} \right) \right] + \sigma'_o + u_o$$

where $G$ is the shear stiffness of the soil, and $s_u$ is the undrained shear strength [Cao et al., 2001; Mayne, 2001]:

$$s_u = \frac{1}{2} \sigma'_i \sin \phi(OCR)^\Lambda$$

The exponent is $\Lambda=0.8$ to 0.9. Note that this model disregards surface tension around bubbles.

On the other hand, an open-mode gas-driven fracture propagates perpendicular to the minimum principal stress direction, and the injection pressure $P_{\text{fracture}}$ tends to the minimum
principal stress $\sigma'_3$ as the fracture size increases:

$$P_{\text{fracture}} = \sigma'_3 + u_o$$

(4)

Figure 8 compares the gas injection pressures for cavity expansion and fracture propagation as a function of the vertical effective stress at the injection point. Circles indicate observed cavity expansions and squares correspond to observed fractures. Experimental observations support model predictions. Overall, open-mode fracture propagation prevails, except at low effective stresses where gas invades as cavity-type bubbles in the low $\Pi_{\text{bubble}}$ regime.

Conclusions

We visualized gas migration patterns in fine-grained sediments using transparent soil specimens subjected to various effective stress levels in 3D vessels. The transparent soil is a proper analogue of fine-grained sediments: it is granular, porous, frictional, and has effective stress-dependent stiffness and strength. Image analysis and gas injection pressure measurements provide quantitative information for mechanistic analyses. The main conclusions follow:

- Gas migration patterns reflect a competition between grain-scale capillary forces and effective stress-dependent skeletal forces. Gas migration is grain-displacive at low effective stress and high air entry pressure so that $\Pi_{\text{inv}} = \Delta P_{AE}/\sigma' \gg 1$, and becomes pore-invasive when $\Pi_{\text{inv}} = \Delta P_{AE}/\sigma' \ll 1$.

- In the grain-displacive regime, the morphology of the invading gas body varies with the effective stress: it starts with spheroidal gas bubbles at very shallow depth (low $\Pi_{\text{bubble}}$), evolves into faceted cavities, and eventually becomes open-mode fractures as the
effective stress increases with depth (high $P_{\text{bubble}}$). The fracture plane thickness is inversely proportional to the sediment shear stiffness.

- Open-mode fractures grow perpendicular to the minimum principal effective stress $\sigma'_{3}$. Therefore, fractures are vertical in normal consolidated sediments and rotate with the principal effective stresses in overconsolidated sediments. The gas injection pressure is proportional to $\sigma'_{3}$.

- The gas body can migrate upwards along the sediment column. Besides the buoyancy drive, pressure fluctuations promote upwards migration of gas-filled openings.

- Displacive gas pathways may close during inactive injection periods. However, they can re-open with a similar morphology and at a lower pressure when gas injection continues. The mechanical memory of displacive pathways in sediments is associated to local changes in effective stress and void ratio. Memory remains even when gas flow ceases for prolonged periods.
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Table 1. Transparent soil: geotechnical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle size/ Aggregate size</td>
<td>~30 nm/ ~1 μm</td>
<td>From SEM image of fumed silica powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface $S_s$</td>
<td>200 m$^2$/g</td>
<td>Methylene blue method$^{(4)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Limit $LL$</td>
<td>393%</td>
<td>From fall cone test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity $k$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm/s</td>
<td>At $\sigma' = 44$ kPa, from consolidation tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of consolidation $c_v$</td>
<td>~1.3 $\times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression index $C_c$</td>
<td>~3.3</td>
<td>From consolidation tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Void ratio $e_{1kPa}$</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-strain shear stiffness</td>
<td>0.3 MPa</td>
<td>At $\sigma' = 44$ kPa, from shear wave velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity-stress $\alpha$ factor$^{(1)}$</td>
<td>3.34 m/s</td>
<td>From shear wave velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity-stress $\beta$ factor$^{(1)}$</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction angle $\phi$</td>
<td>$30^\circ$</td>
<td>Angle of repose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction $\mu$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Shear against Plexiglas substrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (1) Properties are determined using an oedometer cell instrumented with bender elements for concurrent shear wave velocity measurements [Lee and Santamarina, 2005]. Measured parameters are consistent with fine-grained soils [Cha et al., 2014].

(2) $V_s = \alpha \left( \frac{\sigma'_o}{1kPa} \right)^\beta$

(3) Gill and Lehane (2001) measured $\phi = 31^\circ$ in triaxial tests.

(4) Santamarina et al., 2002
Figure 1. Experimental setup. (a) Frame, cell, and monitoring system - side view and cross section. (b) Details of the gas injection needle.
Figure 2. Typical displacive gas migration patterns. Plots on the right show gas pressure (red line) and gas inclusion volume (blue line) signatures.
Figure 3. Stress history and sequential injection-degassing events. The specimen was pre-consolidated to 44 kPa and then unloaded to 1.4 kPa before gas injection. There is principal stress rotation in the upper part where the upwards propagating fracture changes direction. The sediment “heals” after the first degassing event, but leaks continuously after the third event. Successive gas leak pathways follow the same trajectory.
Figure 4. Pressure fluctuations promote the upwards migration of gas-filled openings. Y-shaped gas fracture (Note: unloading from 14 kPa).
Figure 5. Memory effect. (a) Open-mode gas-filled fracture after the first injection. (b) Gas diffusion and fracture healing 4 days after the first injection. (c) Gas-filled fracture after the second injection.
Figure 6. Gas migration patterns in sediments as a function of effective stress and sediment stiffness. The average fracture thickness-to-width ratio $th/W$ is a linear function of sediment compliance $G^{-1}$. 
Figure 7. Grain-displacive gas migration. (a) Grain-scale forces. (b) Instantaneous fracture fronts at different stages of injection (front view). (c & d) Irregular and discontinuous fracture front (side views).
Figure 8. Gas injection pressure vs. vertical effective stress for cavity expansion and open-mode fractures. Data: red squares identify observed fractures; blue circles correspond to cavity expansion (peak pressure). Models: the solid red line is for fracture propagation (Equation 4, $k_0=0.7$), and the dashed blue line shows the cavity expansion pressure (Equation 2).